

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

AFSHIN BAHRAMPOUR,

Plaintiff,

v.

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:21-cv-2159-MMD

ORDER

The Court received the “application seeking leave to file per Judge Navarro’s order dated April 14, 2017” (the “Application”). (ECF No. 1.) In the Application, potential Plaintiff Afshin Bahrapour seeks permission to file a new case against the National Security Agency (“NSA”) and certain unspecified other Defendants, seeking judicial review of their allegedly improper decisions not to release documents in response to Bahrapour’s FOIA request or requests. Bahrapour also attached a copy of Judge Navarro’s 2017 order. (*Id.* at 3-5.) However, the Court needs more information from Bahrapour before it can decide whether to permit him to file a new case. Specifically, the Court needs more information about the investigation Bahrapour conducted before seeking leave to file this case, what his proposed claims are, and how the facts he uncovered during his investigation support the claims he wishes to allege in this proposed case.

It is therefore ordered that Bahrapour must supplement the Application by January 7, 2022, with a detailed explanation of the investigation Bahrapour conducted before seeking leave to file this case, what his proposed claims are, and how the facts he uncovered during his investigation support the claims he wishes to allege in this proposed case.

The Clerk of Court is directed to open a new case number for this matter and temporarily assign it to Chief Judge Miranda M. Du.

1 It is further ordered that, once the Court is able to review Bahrapour's
2 supplement, it will issue a subsequent order either granting or denying Bahrapour's
3 Application.

4 It is further ordered that, if the Court permits Bahrapour to file a new case in a
5 subsequent order, the case will be randomly assigned to another District Judge and
6 Bahrapour will have to submit an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* and proposed
7 complaint in compliance with the Local Rules. The presiding judge may still dismiss the
8 proposed complaint or deny the application to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

9 It is further ordered that this order does not give Bahrapour permission to file a
10 new case, or any further documents in any closed cases. At this time, the Court grants
11 Bahrapour leave to file a supplement to his Application as specified herein, and nothing
12 else.

13 DATED THIS 8th Day of December 2021.

14
15 

16 MIRANDA M. DU
17 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28